

The 3 Ds of Online Antisemitism

Recognize the Patterns. Push Back with Truth.

In 2003 Natan Sharansky developed a vital definition for the new antisemitism – the 3D test, to help us distinguish legitimate criticism of Israel from antisemitism.

DOUBLESTANDARDS

Application of different sets of principles on similar situations. If a person criticizes Israel and only Israel on certain issues, but ignores similar situations conducted by other countries they are performing a double standard policy against Israel.

Online examples: It disguises bias as critique.
All nations deserve fair judgement.

Liberals on Hindu Deaths in Kashmir

Liberals on Gaza & Palestine



CTURKEYSHOULDINVADE
(SRAELFORTHEIRACTIONSIN)
GAZAPTURKISH PROPALESTINIANS MIF
WHEN ISRAEL INVADESTURKEY
(FORTHEIRGENOCIDE AGAINSTITHE KURDS)



DEMONIZATION

Portraying Israel or its people as evil, demonic, or inherently bad, false accusations, or comparisons to historically evil entities like Nazi Germany. Spreading classic antisemitic tropes and stereotypes and applying them to Israel.

Online examples: Comparing Israelis to Nazi, Al-generated images of Jewish control or blood thirsty.





DELEGITIMIZATION

Denying Jews the right to self-determination. When Israel's fundamental right to exist is denied, or its existence is portrayed as illegitimate. This often involves denying the Jewish people's right to self-determination or a homeland.

Online examples: It erases Jewish identity and history while denying a right granted to others.



